Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,328	KATO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHAN S. PARK	2625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>CHAN S. PARK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <i>Gary Jacobs (Reg. No. 28,861)</i> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 February 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given.  Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/CHAN S PARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)